

6-DIMENSIONAL NEARLY KÄHLER MANIFOLDS OF COHOMOGENEITY ONE

FABIO PODESTÀ AND ANDREA SPIRO

ABSTRACT. We consider 6-dimensional strict nearly Kähler manifolds acted on by a compact, cohomogeneity one automorphism group G . We classify the compact manifolds of this class up to G -diffeomorphisms. We also prove that the manifold has constant sectional curvature whenever the group G is simple.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *nearly Kähler* (shortly NK) if it admits an almost complex structure J such that g is Hermitian and $(\nabla_X J)X = 0$ for every vector field X . A NK manifold (M, g, J) is called *strict* if $\nabla_v J|_p \neq 0$ for every $p \in M$ and every $0 \neq v \in T_p M$. These manifolds have been investigated by A. Gray and many others (see e.g. [6, 8, 12, 13, 14]). The class of NK manifolds includes 3-symmetric spaces with canonical almost complex structure and it is one of the sixteen Gray and Hervella's classes of almost Hermitian manifolds ([7, 9]). Moreover their canonical Hermitian connection D has totally skew and D -parallel torsion (see e.g. [1, 13]).

Recently Nagy proved the following two structure results: a) any NK manifold is locally holomorphically isometric to the product of a Kähler manifold and a strict NK manifold; b) any complete strict NK manifold is finitely covered by a product of homogeneous 3-symmetric manifolds, twistor spaces of positive quaternion Kähler manifolds with their canonical NK structure and 6-dimensional strict NK manifolds ([13, 14]).

Therefore 6-dimensional strict NK manifolds appear to be the interesting manifolds to focus on. Notice also that for any complete 6-dimensional strict NK manifold (M^6, g, J) the structure group reduces to SU_3 and the first Chern class $c_1(M, J)$ vanishes. In addition, the strictness condition is equivalent to being non-Kähler and the metric g is automatically Einstein with positive scalar curvature. Finally, on simply connected 6-dimensional compact manifolds there is a bijective correspondence between NK structures and unit real Killing spinors ([11]).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25, 57S15.

Key words and phrases. Nearly Kähler Manifolds, Cohomogeneity One Actions.

All known examples of 6-dimensional complete, strict NK manifolds are homogeneous, namely the standard sphere $S^6 = G_2/SU_3$, the twistor spaces $\mathbb{C}P^3 = Sp_2/T^1 \times Sp_1$ and $F_{1,2} = SU_3/T^2$ and the homogeneous space $S^3 \times S^3 = SU_2^3/(SU_2)_{\text{diag}}$. Actually, Butruille proved that these space exhaust the class of homogeneous strict NK manifolds in six dimensions ([3]).

In this paper we address the problem of classifying 6-dimensional strict NK manifolds admitting a compact automorphism group acting by cohomogeneity one. Our first result concerns the classification up to a G -diffeomorphism of 6-dimensional compact strict (i.e. non-Kähler) NK manifolds of cohomogeneity one .

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g, J) be a 6-dimensional simply connected, compact non-Kähler NK manifold and G a compact connected Lie group of isometries of (M, g) acting by cohomogeneity one. Then, only one of the following cases may occur:*

- a) G is SU_3 or SO_4 and M is G -diffeomorphic to S^6 ;
- b) G is $SU_2 \times SU_2$ and M is G -diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ or $S^3 \times S^3$.

This result motivates the study of cohomogeneity one 6-dimensional non-Kähler NK manifolds acted on by the groups SU_3 and $SU_2 \times SU_2$. Our next main result concerns 6-dimensional non-Kähler NK-manifolds, not necessarily complete, acted on by a simple group G with cohomogeneity one.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g, J) be a 6-dimensional non-Kähler NK manifold. If a simple Lie group G acts on M as a group of automorphisms of the NK structure with cohomogeneity one, then (M, g) has constant sectional curvature and $G = SU_3$.*

We will discuss the cohomogeneity one 6-dimensional non-Kähler NK manifolds acted on by $SU_2 \times SU_2$ in a future paper.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries concerning nearly Kähler structures and cohomogeneity one Riemannian manifolds. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

Given a Lie group G , the corresponding Lie algebra $Lie(G)$ will be always denoted by the corresponding gothic letter $\mathfrak{g}$. We will also indicate by $\mathcal{B}$ the opposite of the Cartan-Killing form of a semisimple $\mathfrak{g}$. Finally, given an action of a Lie group G on a manifold M and an element $X \in Lie(G)$, the symbol $\widehat{X}$ will denote the vector field on M corresponding to X .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Cohomogeneity one actions. Let G be a compact connected Lie group acting almost effectively and isometrically on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . The action is called of *cohomogeneity one* if generic orbits have

codimension one or, equivalently, the orbit space M/G is one dimensional, possibly with boundary (see e.g. [2], [10], [15] for a detailed exposition).

When M is compact with finite fundamental group, the orbit space M/G has exactly two boundary points which correspond to two singular orbits. Denote by $\pi : M \rightarrow M/G$ the standard projection and fix a normal geodesic $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$, i.e. a geodesic that meets every G -orbit orthogonally, so that $\pi \circ \gamma$ is a homeomorphism between $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and the orbit space M/G . The stabilizers $G_{\gamma(t)}$ with $t \in]a, b[$ are all equal to a principal isotropy subgroup K , while $H_1 = G_{\gamma(a)}$ and $H_2 = G_{\gamma(b)}$ are two singular isotropies both containing K .

The normal isotropy representation ν_i maps H_i onto a linear subgroup $\hat{H}_i \subseteq O(k_i)$, where $k_i = \text{codim } G/H_i$, which acts transitively on the unit sphere $S^{k_i-1} \cong \hat{H}_i/\hat{K}$, where $\hat{K} = K/\ker \nu_i$. In the following table, we reproduce the well-known Borel's list of the pairs $(\hat{H}, \hat{K})$ of connected compact linear groups acting transitively on spheres.

$\hat{H}$	SO_n	U_n	SU_n	$Sp_n Sp_1$	$Sp_n U_1$	Sp_n	G_2	$Spin_7$	$Spin_9$
$\hat{K}$	SO_{n-1}	U_{n-1}	SU_{n-1}	$Sp_{n-1} Sp_1$	$Sp_{n-1} U_1$	Sp_{n-1}	SU_3	G_2	$Spin_7$
$\hat{H}/\hat{K}$	S^{n-1}	S^{2n-1}		S^{4n-1}			S^6	S^7	S^{15}

Table 1

Therefore the triple (H_1, K, H_2) is a so called *admissible triple* of subgroups, i.e. three subgroups such that $K \subseteq H_1, H_2$ and so that H_i/K , $i = 1, 2$, is diffeomorphic to a sphere. Conversely, for any admissible triple of subgroups of G one can construct a G -manifold M of cohomogeneity one with singular and principal isotropy subgroups equal to the given triple (see e.g. [10]). Two G -manifolds of cohomogeneity one are G -diffeomorphic if and only if their associated triples are obtained one from the other by a suitable combination of the following operations:

- i) switch H_1 and H_2 ;
- ii) conjugate every subgroup K, H_1, H_2 by the same element of G ;
- iii) conjugate H_1 by an element of the normalizer $N_G(K)^o$.

Let us denote by ξ a unit vector field defined on the open subset $M_{\text{reg}} \subset M$ of regular points orthogonal to all G -orbits; Its integral curves are normal geodesics. Any regular orbit $G \cdot p = G/K$ admits a tubular neighborhood which is G -equivariantly isometric to the product $]a, b[\times G/K$, $]a, b[\subset \mathbb{R}$, endowed with the metric

$$\bar{g} = dt^2 + g_t,$$

where g_t is a smooth family of G -invariant metrics on G/K and the vector field $\partial/\partial t$ corresponds to ξ . The metrics g_t can be described as follows: fix an Ad_K -invariant decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$ so that, for any t , g_t can be identified with an Ad_k -invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{m}$. Therefore the family

g_t can be seen as a smooth map $g_t :]a, b[\rightarrow S_+^2(\mathfrak{m})^K$ into the space $S_+^2(\mathfrak{m})^K$ of Ad_K -invariant symmetric positively defined bilinear maps on $\mathfrak{m}$.

2.2. 6-dimensional NK manifolds. Given a NK manifold (M, g, J) , we denote by $\omega = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ the associated Kähler form.

We recall that

$$d\omega(X, Y, Z) = 3g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \quad (2.1)$$

Let us focus on the six dimensional non-Kähler NK manifolds. We recall that these manifolds are strict and Einstein with positive scalar curvature. In particular they have finite fundamental group whenever complete. Moreover, for their group of isometries the following holds ([12] Prop 3.1).

Lemma 2.1. *If G is a connected group of isometries of a compact, non-Kähler NK manifold (M, g, J) of dimension six, then G preserves J unless (M, g) is isometric to the standard sphere S^6 .*

The following lemma is a generalization of the result in [5].

Lemma 2.2. *A 6-dimensional compact, non-Kähler, NK manifold (M, g, J) admits no almost complex four-dimensional submanifold.*

Proof. Let $N \subset M$ be a 4-dimensional almost complex submanifold. In [5], Lemmas 2, 3, it is proved that N , endowed with the induced Hermitian structure, is Kähler. This implies that for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$

$$(\nabla_X J)Y = h(X, JY) - Jh(X, Y), \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$h(X, JY) + h(JX, Y) = 2Jh(X, Y) \quad (2.3)$$

where h denotes the second fundamental form. We select a point $p \in N$ and a unit vector $v \in T_p N$ such that

$$\|h(v, v)\|^2 = \max_{w \in T_p N; \|w\|=1} \|h(w, w)\|^2.$$

This implies that for every $w \in T_p N$ with $g(v, w) = 0$ we have $g(h(v, v), h(v, w)) = 0$. Since we can also use Jv instead of v , for every $w \in T_p N$ with $g(Jv, w) = 0$, we have $g(h(Jv, Jv), h(Jv, w)) = 0$. Using now (2.3), for any $w \in T_p N$ with $g(v, w) = g(Jv, w) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(Jh(v, v), h(v, w)) &= -g(h(v, Jw), h(v, v)) - g(h(Jv, w), h(v, v)) = \\ &= -g(h(v, Jw), h(v, v)) + g(h(Jv, w), h(Jv, Jv)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the normal vector $h(v, w)$ is orthogonal to $h(v, v)$ and $Jh(v, v)$. These two vectors span the normal space $T_p N^\perp$ if h is not zero, hence $h(v, w) = 0$. Similarly $h(v, Jw) = 0$. So, by (2.2), we have the existence of unit tangent vectors v, w with $g(v, w) = g(v, Jw) = 0$ and $(\nabla_v J)w = 0$. On the other hand, this is impossible, as 6-dimensional strict NK manifolds are of constant

type ([8], Thm. 5.2), i.e. there is a positive constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $x, y \in T_q(M)$, $q \in M$,

$$\|(\nabla_x J)y\|^2 = \alpha (\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - g(x, y)^2 - g(Jx, y)^2). \quad \square$$

We conclude describing known examples of cohomogeneity one actions on 6-dimensional non-Kähler NK manifolds. These are indeed the cases considered in Theorem 1.1.

- i) The sphere S^6 has a G_2 -invariant NK structure and the subgroup $G = \mathrm{SO}_4 \subset G_2$ acts on $S^6 = G_2/\mathrm{SU}_3$ by cohomogeneity one. Using the fact that the corresponding action of SO_4 on $\mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}^3 + \mathbb{R}^4$ acts on the summands $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^4$ with the standard SO_3 - and SO_4 -representations, one can see that the associated triple of this cohomogeneity one action on S^6 is $(\mathrm{SO}_3, \mathrm{T}^1, \mathrm{U}_2)$. The subgroup $\mathrm{SU}_3 \subset G_2$ also acts on S^6 by cohomogeneity one with two isolated fixed points and associated triple $(\mathrm{SU}_3, \mathrm{SU}_2, \mathrm{SU}_3)$.
- ii) The complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^3$ has a strict NK structure, invariant by the standard Sp_2 -action on it. One can immediately check that the diagonal subgroup $G = \mathrm{Sp}_1 \times \mathrm{Sp}_1 \subset \mathrm{Sp}_2$ acts on $\mathbb{C}P^3$ by cohomogeneity one with associated triple $(\mathrm{T}^1 \times \mathrm{Sp}_1, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{diag}}^1, \mathrm{Sp}_1 \times \mathrm{T}^1)$.
- iii) The 3-symmetric space $S^3 \times S^3$ is homogeneous under the following action of SU_2^3 : for any $(g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathrm{SU}_2^3$ and $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathrm{SU}_2^2 \cong S^3 \times S^3$

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3) \cdot (x_1, x_2) = (g_1 x_1 g_3^{-1}, g_2 x_2 g_3^{-1}).$$

The group $G = \mathrm{SU}_2^2$, embedded in SU_2^3 by the homomorphism $(g, h) \in \mathrm{SU}_2^2 \mapsto (g, h, g) \in \mathrm{SU}_2^3$, acts on $S^3 \times S^3$ by cohomogeneity one with associated triple $((\mathrm{SU}_2)_{\mathrm{diag}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{diag}}^1, (\mathrm{SU}_2)_{\mathrm{diag}})$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In all the following, (M, g, J) is a simply connected, compact, 6-dimensional, non-Kähler NK manifold and G acts almost effectively as a group of isometries of (M, g) with principal orbit of codimension 1. By Lemma 2.1 we can suppose that G preserves the almost complex structure J . We fix a principal point $p \in M$ and we denote $K = G_p$. We start with the following:

Lemma 3.1. *The subgroup K is isomorphic to a compact subgroup of SU_2 and hence $\mathfrak{k}$ is $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathfrak{su}_2$.*

Proof. Since the NK structure is non-Kähler, $d\omega_p \neq 0$ and therefore K , that preserves g_p , J_p and $d\omega_p$, must be a subgroup of SU_3 . Since it preserves also the unit vector ξ_p , normal to the orbit $G \cdot p$, and the vector $J\xi_p$, it follows that $K \subseteq \mathrm{SU}_2$. $\square$

From Lemma 3.1 and the fact $\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{k} = \dim G \cdot p = 5$ for any principal point p , we may easily determine the possibilities for the compact Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, namely:

- if $\mathfrak{k} = \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}_2 + 2\mathbb{R}$ or $5\mathbb{R}$;
- if $\mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}_2 + \mathfrak{su}_2$, $\mathfrak{su}_2 + 3\mathbb{R}$ or $6\mathbb{R}$;
- if $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{su}_2$, then $\mathfrak{g} = 2\mathfrak{su}_2 + 2\mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{su}_2 + 5\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathfrak{su}_3$.

On the other hand, some of these possibilities can be immediately excluded. First of all, the pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (6\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $(\mathfrak{su}_2 + 5\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{su}_2)$ cannot occur, because in those cases $\mathfrak{k}$ would be an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, the principal isotropy would act trivially on M and the action would not be almost effective. Secondly, the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (\mathfrak{su}_2 + \mathfrak{su}_2 + 2\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{su}_2)$ is not admissible because in this case the fixed point set $T_p(G \cdot p)^{K^\circ}$ is three dimensional and J -invariant, a contradiction. Finally, also the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (5\mathbb{R}, \{0\})$ can be ruled out. In fact, since $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian, the two singular orbits should be both diffeomorphic to T^4 . Since M is the union of two disk bundles over the singular orbits, Seifert-VanKampen theorem implies that $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite, a contradiction. In addition we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *The pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (\mathfrak{su}_2 + 3\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $(\mathfrak{su}_2 + 2\mathbb{R}, \{0\})$ are not admissible.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ be $(\mathfrak{su}_2 + 3\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Note that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ has a non trivial projection onto the $\mathfrak{su}_2$ factor because otherwise it would be contained in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $S := G \cdot q$ be a singular orbit with isotropy subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_q = \mathfrak{h} \supseteq \mathfrak{k}$. We denote by $\nu : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(T_q S^\perp)$ the slice representation and by $\mathfrak{k}_o = \ker \nu|_{\mathfrak{k}} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$.

The case $\mathfrak{k}_o = \mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{R}$ cannot occur by the following arguments. Since $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_o$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{h}$, using Borel's list we see that $\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{k}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathfrak{su}_2$. The latter is excluded because $\mathfrak{k}$ would be in the centralizer of $\mathfrak{su}_2$, hence in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore we have $\mathfrak{h} \cong 2\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{h}_o$ for some $\mathfrak{h}_o \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$. Now, $\mathfrak{h}_o$ acts non trivially on the normal space to S , while it acts trivially on the tangent space $T_q S$ because it is contained in the center of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since the isotropy representation preserves J , the tangent space $T_q S = (T_q M)^{\mathfrak{h}_o}$ is J -invariant. This means that the orbit S is almost complex and four dimensional, which cannot be by Lemma 2.2.

Therefore $\mathfrak{k}_o = \{0\}$ and from Borel's list, $(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k}) = (\mathfrak{so}_3, \mathbb{R})$ or $(\mathfrak{u}_2, \mathbb{R})$. This implies that both singular orbits are diffeomorphic to tori, contradicting the fact that M has finite fundamental group.

The case $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (\mathfrak{su}_2 + 2\mathbb{R}, \{0\})$ can be ruled out using similar arguments. $\square$

Therefore the possible pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ reduce to

$$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (\mathfrak{su}_2 + \mathfrak{su}_2, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{or} \quad (\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (\mathfrak{su}_3, \mathfrak{su}_2).$$

We now focus on the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}_2 + \mathfrak{su}_2$ and hence on almost effective actions of $G = \mathrm{SU}_2 \times \mathrm{SU}_2$.

Lemma 3.3. *If $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (\mathfrak{su}_2 + \mathfrak{su}_2, \mathbb{R})$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is, up to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, diagonally embedded into a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. We first observe that $\mathfrak{k} \cong \mathbb{R}$ projects non trivially into each factor $\mathfrak{su}_2$. In fact if $\mathfrak{k}$ is contained in some factor $\mathfrak{su}_2$, then the fixed point set M^{K° would be 4-dimensional and almost complex and this is not possible by Lemma 2.2. Then there is a unique Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} = pr_1(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus pr_2(\mathfrak{k})$ containing $\mathfrak{k}$, where pr_i denote the projections onto the $\mathfrak{su}_2$ -factors. Consider the $\mathcal{B}$ -orthogonal decompositions $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2$, with $\mathfrak{n}_i$ contained in $\mathfrak{su}_2$ factors, so that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2$.

Since the $\mathfrak{k}$ -modules $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{n}_2$ are mutually non equivalent, we have that $S^2(\mathfrak{m})^{\text{Ad}(K)}$ is generated by $\mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{n}_i \times \mathfrak{n}_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. According to the remarks in §2.1, the metric g in a tubular neighborhood $]a, b[\times G/K$ of the principal orbit $G \cdot p = G/K$ is of the form

$$g = dt^2 + f(t)^2 \mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}} + h_1(t)^2 \mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{n}_1 \times \mathfrak{n}_1} + h_2(t)^2 \mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{n}_2 \times \mathfrak{n}_2},$$

where f, h_1, h_2 are smooth functions of $t \in]a, b[$. We now claim that $\nabla J = 0$, contradicting the fact that the NK structure is non-Kähler. In fact, we observe that $\Lambda^3(T_p M)^K$ is $(\mathbb{R} \cdot \xi + \widehat{\mathfrak{a}}) \otimes [\Lambda^2(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_1) + \Lambda^2(\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_2)]$, where $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Hence the only possibly non zero component of the 3-form $\psi = d\omega = 3g(\nabla J \cdot, \cdot)$ are $\psi(\xi, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i)$ and $\psi(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i)$.

We show that $\psi(\xi, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i) = 0$ and $\psi(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i) = 0$, from which the conclusion will follow. To do this, we observe that $J\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i|_{\gamma(t)} = \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i|_{\gamma(t)}$ and the induced complex structure $J_t \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{n}_i)^{\text{Ad}(K)}$ is constant since $\mathfrak{n}_i$ is two-dimensional. Pick $v, w \in \mathfrak{n}_i$ with $J\widehat{v} = \widehat{w}$ along $\gamma(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\xi, \widehat{v}, \widehat{w}) &= g(\nabla_\xi \widehat{w}, \widehat{w}) - g(J\nabla_\xi \widehat{v}, \widehat{w}) = \\ &= g(\nabla_\xi \widehat{w}, \widehat{w}) - g(\nabla_\xi \widehat{v}, \widehat{v}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (g(\widehat{w}, \widehat{w}) - g(\widehat{v}, \widehat{v})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove that $\psi(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i, \widehat{\mathfrak{n}}_i) = 0$, it is enough to note that ψ is J -invariant and $J\widehat{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbb{R} \cdot \xi$. $\square$

By the previous lemma and Borel's list, we see that a singular isotropy subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \supsetneq \mathfrak{k} = \mathbb{R}$ is either $\mathbb{R}^2$, $(\mathfrak{su}_2)_{\text{diag}}$ or $\mathbb{R} + \mathfrak{su}_2$, up to conjugation. We claim that the case $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}^2$ cannot occur. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}^2$ we see that the normal space to the singular orbit $\mathcal{O}$ is the fixed point set of K° , hence it is J -invariant. This means that $\mathcal{O}$ is almost complex and 4-dimensional, contradicting Lemma 2.2.

We now claim that the admissible triple (H_1, K, H_2) of the cohomogeneity one action consists of connected groups. Indeed, every singular orbit has codimension greater than two and this implies that both singular orbits are simply connected, since each of them is a deformation retract of the complement of the other one. This implies that the two singular isotropy subgroups H_1, H_2 are connected. Since the sphere $\dim H_i/K$ have dimension greater than one, K is connected too.

Hence the only possibilities of the triple (H_1, K, H_2) are, up to equivalence,

- $(\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathrm{SU}_2, \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{diag}}^1, \mathrm{SU}_2 \times \mathbb{T}^1);$
- $((\mathrm{SU}_2)_{\mathrm{diag}}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{diag}}^1, \mathrm{SU}_2 \times \mathbb{T}^1);$
- $((\mathrm{SU}_2)_{\mathrm{diag}}, \mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{diag}}^1, (\mathrm{SU}_2)_{\mathrm{diag}}),$

which correspond to the examples $\mathbb{CP}^3$, S^6 and $S^3 \times S^3$ of $\mathrm{SU}_2 \times \mathrm{SU}_2$ -manifolds presented in §2.2.

We now deal with the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}_3$ and hence with almost effective actions of $G = \mathrm{SU}_3$. Looking at Borel's list we see that a singular isotropy subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ containing $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{su}_2$ can be either $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}_3$ or $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}$. In the latter case, the normal space of the singular orbit is the fixed point set of the isotropy representation of K° and the singular orbit would be an almost complex 4-dimensional submanifold, which is impossible. Therefore both singular isotropy subalgebras coincide with $\mathfrak{su}_3 = \mathfrak{g}$ and the singular orbits are fixed points. This means that there is only one possible triple (H_1, K, H_2) , corresponding to the SU_3 -manifold S^6 .

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.

By Lemma 3.1 and following remarks, the only compact simple group, acting by cohomogeneity one as group of automorphisms of the NK structure of 6-dimensional non-Kähler NK manifold (M, g, J) , is $G = \mathrm{SU}_3$ with principal isotropy $K = \mathrm{SU}_2$.

Consider the $\mathcal{B}$ -orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}_3$ into irreducible $\mathfrak{k}$ -moduli $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$, where $\dim \mathfrak{a} = 1$ and $\mathfrak{n} \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ is the standard representation space of SU_2 . Notice that $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{k}] = 0$ and $[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{n}$. Let also $A \in \mathfrak{a}$ so that $J_o = \mathrm{ad}(A)|_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ coincides with an Ad_K -invariant complex structure on $\mathfrak{n}$.

By the observations in §2.1, the metric g in a tubular neighborhood $I \times G/K$, $I =]a, b[$, of a principal orbit $G \cdot p = G/K$ is of the form

$$g = dt^2 + h^2 \mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}} + f^2 \mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where h, f are positive functions in $C^\infty(I)$ and where $\partial/\partial t$ is identified with the unit vector field ξ , orthogonal to the G -orbits, and the curve $(t, eK) \in I \times G/K$ is identified with the normal geodesic $\gamma(t)$.

Recall that the space of Ad_K -invariant complex structures on $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{C}^2$ is a 2-sphere, isomorphic to the unit sphere of the imaginary quaternions, i.e.

$$S = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i J_i : \sum_i a_i^2 = 1 \right\}$$

where J_i are anticommuting complex structures with $J_1 J_2 J_3 = -Id$. With no loss of generality we may assume that $J_1 = J_o$.

From K -invariance of J we immediately have the following.

- a) For any t , the vector $J\xi|_{\gamma(t)}$ is K -fixed in the tangent space $V_t = T_{\gamma(t)}(G \cdot \gamma(t))$ to the G -orbit $G \cdot \gamma(t)$, so that $J\xi|_{\gamma(t)}$ lies in the linear span of $\widehat{A}|_{\gamma(t)}$. We set $J\xi|_{\gamma(t)} = u(t) \cdot \widehat{A}|_{\gamma(t)}$ for some positive $u \in C^\infty(I)$;
- b) J induces an Ad_K -invariant complex structure in $\mathfrak{n}$, say J_t , such that for every $v \in \mathfrak{n}$ we have $J\widehat{v} = \widehat{J_t v}$. By previous remarks,

$$J_t = \sum_i^3 a_i(t) J_i,$$

for some $a_i \in C^\infty(I)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i^2 = 1$.

We want now to determine the equations that must be satisfied by the functions h , f and a_i 's, so that (g, J) is a NK structure. The next four lemmata are devoted to the evaluation of all components of ∇J at the points of the normal geodesic $\gamma(t)$, by means of which we will immediately determine the equations we are looking for.

Lemma 4.1. *The vector field $J\xi$ is of the form $J\xi = u\widehat{A}$ with $u^2 = \frac{1}{4h^2}$ and the operators ∇_ξ and $\nabla \cdot J\xi$ satisfy the following identities:*

$$(1) \nabla \xi|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}} = \frac{f'}{f} Id.$$

$$(2) \nabla_\xi \xi = \nabla_\xi J\xi = 0, \nabla_{J\xi} \xi = \frac{h'}{h} J\xi \text{ and } \nabla_{J\xi} J\xi = -\frac{h'}{h} \xi.$$

It follows that

$$(\nabla_\xi J)\xi = (\nabla_\xi J)J\xi = (\nabla_{J\xi} J)\xi = (\nabla_{J\xi} J)J\xi = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. The first claim follows immediately from previous remarks and from $1 = \|J\xi\|^2 = u^2 \|\widehat{A}\|^2 = 4u^2 h^2$. To see (1), observe that $\nabla \xi|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}}$ can be identified with an element of $S^2(\mathfrak{n})^{\text{Ad}_K}$ at any point $\gamma(t)$, which is, by Schur's Lemma, of the form $\lambda(t) Id_{\mathfrak{n}}$. So for every $v \in \mathfrak{n}$ we have $\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \xi = \lambda \widehat{v}$ for some $\lambda \in C^\infty(I)$ and the claim follows from

$$\lambda f^2 \mathcal{B}(v, v) = g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \xi, \widehat{v}) = g(\nabla_\xi \widehat{v}, \widehat{v}) = \frac{1}{2} (\|\widehat{v}\|^2)' = f f' \mathcal{B}(v, v).$$

To check (2), notice that $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$ since ξ is the tangent vector to the normal geodesic. Moreover, $\nabla_\xi J\xi$ is K -invariant and hence it is in the span of ξ , $J\xi$. Since $g(\nabla_\xi J\xi, \xi) = -g(J\xi, \nabla_\xi \xi) = 0$, we get $\nabla_\xi J\xi = 0$. Finally, since also $\nabla_{J\xi} \xi$ and $\nabla_{J\xi} J\xi$ are K -invariant, the last equalities follows from $J\xi = u\widehat{A}$, $g(\nabla_{J\xi} \xi, J\xi) = -g(\nabla_{J\xi} J\xi, \xi)$ and

$$g(\nabla_{J\xi} \xi, J\xi) = u^2 g(\nabla_{\widehat{A}} \xi, \widehat{A}) = u^2 g(\nabla_\xi \widehat{A}, \widehat{A}) = \frac{u^2}{2} (\|\widehat{A}\|^2)' = 4u^2 h h'.$$

Equalities (4.2) are direct consequence of (2). $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *At any point of the geodesic $\gamma(t)$ and for any $v \in \mathfrak{n}$*

$$(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} J)\widehat{v} = \left(\frac{u h^2}{2 f^2} a_1 + \frac{f'}{f} \right) \|\widehat{v}\|^2 J\xi. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. First of all, we claim that $(\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J)\widehat{v}|_{\gamma(t)}$ has trivial orthogonal projections along $\mathbb{R}\xi|_{\gamma(t)}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{n}}|_{\gamma(t)}$. Indeed, using Lemma 4.1 and the properties that $[\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \xi] = 0$, $\widehat{v}$ is a Killing vector field and $\text{ad}_A|_{\mathfrak{n}} = J_1$, we have

$$g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J\widehat{v}, \xi) = \widehat{v} \cdot g(J\widehat{v}, \xi) - g(J\widehat{v}, \nabla_{\widehat{v}}\xi) = 0,$$

$$g(J\nabla_{\widehat{v}}\widehat{v}, \xi) = g(\nabla_{J\xi}\widehat{v}, \widehat{v}) = \frac{1}{2}J\xi \cdot \|\widehat{v}\|^2 = -\frac{1}{2}u \widehat{A} \cdot \|\widehat{v}\|^2 = -u g([\widehat{A}, \widehat{v}], \widehat{v}) = 0$$

and this implies $g((\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J)\widehat{v}, \xi)|_{\gamma(t)} = 0$. On the other hand, since $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}] \subseteq \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{a}$, we also have that $g([\widehat{v}, \widehat{\mathbf{n}}], \widehat{\mathbf{n}})|_{\gamma(t)} = 0$ and hence $g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}}\widehat{v}, \widehat{\mathbf{n}})|_{\gamma(t)} = 0$. From this, using Koszul's formula, the fact that $\widehat{v}, \widehat{w}$ are Killing fields and setting $z = J_tv$ so that $\widehat{z}|_{\gamma(t)} = J\widehat{v}|_{\gamma(t)}$, we have that for any $w \in \mathfrak{n}$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J)\widehat{v}, \widehat{w})|_{\gamma(t)} &= g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J\widehat{v}, \widehat{w})|_{\gamma(t)} + g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}}\widehat{v}, J\widehat{w})|_{\gamma(t)} = \frac{1}{2}(J\widehat{v} \cdot g(\widehat{v}, \widehat{w}))|_{\gamma(t)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{z} \cdot g(\widehat{v}, \widehat{w}))|_{\gamma(t)} = \frac{1}{2}(g([\widehat{z}, \widehat{v}], \widehat{w}) + g(\widehat{v}, [\widehat{z}, \widehat{w}]))|_{\gamma(t)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, to obtain formula (4.3), we only need to compute $g((\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J)\widehat{v}, J\xi)|_{\gamma(t)}$. By Koszul's formula and the fact that $\widehat{v}$ and $\widehat{A}$ are Killing and J -preserving,

$$g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J\widehat{v}, J\xi)|_{\gamma(t)} = u g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J\widehat{v}, \widehat{A})|_{\gamma(t)} = \frac{u}{2} \left(J\widehat{v} \cdot g(\widehat{v}, \widehat{A}) + g([\widehat{v}, \widehat{A}], J\widehat{v}) \right)|_{\gamma(t)}. \quad (4.4)$$

On the other hand, recalling that $J\widehat{w}|_{\gamma(t)} = \sum_i a_i \widehat{J_i v}|_{\gamma(t)}$,

$$g([\widehat{v}, \widehat{A}], J\widehat{v})|_{\gamma(t)} = -g([\widehat{v}, \widehat{A}], J\widehat{v})|_{\gamma(t)} = g(\widehat{J_1 v}, \sum_i a_i \widehat{J_i v})|_{\gamma(t)} = a_1 \|\widehat{v}\|^2|_{\gamma(t)},$$

while, setting $z = J_tv = \sum_i a_i J_i v$ so that $\widehat{z}|_{\gamma(t)} = J\widehat{v}|_{\gamma(t)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (J\widehat{v} \cdot g(\widehat{v}, \widehat{A}))|_{\gamma(t)} &= (\widehat{z} \cdot g(\widehat{v}, \widehat{A}))|_{\gamma(t)} = -g([\widehat{z}, \widehat{v}], \widehat{A})|_{\gamma(t)} - g(\widehat{v}, [\widehat{z}, \widehat{A}])|_{\gamma(t)} = \\ &= h^2 \mathcal{B}(z, [A, v]) + f^2 \mathcal{B}(v, [A, z]) = \\ &= h^2 \mathcal{B}(\sum_i a_i J_i v, J_1 v) - f^2 \mathcal{B}(J_1 v, \sum_i a_i J_i v) = \left(\frac{h^2}{f^2} - 1 \right) a_1 \|\widehat{v}\|^2|_{\gamma(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (4.4), we get

$$g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}}J\widehat{v}, J\xi) = \frac{uh^2}{2f^2} a_1 \|\widehat{v}\|^2. \quad (4.5)$$

On the other hand, using Koszul's formula once again,

$$g(J\nabla_{\widehat{v}}\widehat{v}, J\xi)|_{\gamma(t)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\|\widehat{v}\|^2)'|_{\gamma(t)} = -ff'\mathcal{B}(v, v) = -\frac{f'}{f}\|\widehat{v}\|^2|_{\gamma(t)} \quad (4.6)$$

and the coefficient of $J\xi$ in (4.3) is obtained subtracting (4.6) from (4.5). $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *At any point of the geodesic $\gamma(t)$ and for any $v \in \mathfrak{n}$*

$$(\nabla_\xi J)\widehat{v} = \sum_{i=1}^3 a'_i \widehat{J_i v}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} J)\xi = -\frac{h}{4f^2} \widehat{J_1 v} - \frac{f'}{f} \widehat{J_t v}. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. First of all, notice that the projections of $(\nabla_\xi J)\widehat{v}|_{\gamma(t)}$ and $(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} J)\xi|_{\gamma(t)}$ along $\xi|_{\gamma(t)}$ or $J\xi|_{\gamma(t)}$ correspond to elements of $(\mathfrak{n}^*)^{\text{Ad}_K} = \{0\}$ and are therefore trivial. Hence (4.7) is proved observing that for any $w \in \mathfrak{n}$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_\xi J)\widehat{v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} &= (g(J\widehat{v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)})' - g(J\widehat{v}, \nabla_\xi \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} + g(\nabla_\xi \widehat{v}, J\widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} = \\ &\stackrel{(\text{Lemma 4.1})}{=} \mathcal{B}\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 (f^2 a_i)' J_i v, w\right) + 2 \frac{f'}{f} g(\widehat{v}, J\widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{f^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 (f^2 a_i)' g(\widehat{J_i v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} - 2 \frac{f'}{f} g(J\widehat{v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^3 a'_i g(\widehat{J_i v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

As for (4.8), we have

$$g((\nabla_{\widehat{v}} J)\xi, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} = g(\nabla_{J\xi} \widehat{v} - J\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \xi, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} = u g(\nabla_{\widehat{A}} \widehat{v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} - \frac{f'}{f} g(J\widehat{v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)},$$

where, by Koszul's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\widehat{A}} \widehat{v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} &= \frac{1}{2} g([\widehat{A}, \widehat{v}], \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} - \frac{1}{2} g([\widehat{w}, \widehat{A}], \widehat{v})_{\gamma(t)} - \frac{1}{2} g([\widehat{w}, \widehat{v}], \widehat{A})_{\gamma(t)} = \\ &= \frac{f^2}{2} (\mathcal{B}([v, A], w) - \mathcal{B}([A, w], v)) - \frac{h^2}{2} \mathcal{B}([v, w], A) = \\ &= -\frac{h^2}{2} \mathcal{B}(w, [A, v]) = -\frac{h^2}{2f^2} g(\widehat{w}, \widehat{J_1 v})_{\gamma(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $g((\nabla_{\widehat{v}} J)\xi, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} = -g\left(\frac{uh^2}{2f^2} \widehat{J_1 v} + \frac{f'}{f} J\widehat{v}, \widehat{w}\right)_{\gamma(t)}$ and the claim follows from the fact that $uh = 1/2$ by Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *At any point of the geodesic $\gamma(t)$ and for any $v \in \mathfrak{n}$*

$$(\nabla_{\widehat{A}} J)\widehat{v} = \left(\frac{h^2}{2f^2} - 1\right) [\widehat{J_t}, \widehat{J_1}]v, \quad (4.9)$$

$$(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} J)\widehat{A} = \frac{h^2}{2f^2} \widehat{J_t J_1 v} - \frac{f'}{uf} \widehat{v}. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. By the same arguments in the previous lemma, $(\nabla_{\widehat{A}} J)\widehat{v}|_{\gamma(t)}$ and $(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} J)\widehat{A}|_{\gamma(t)}$ have non trivial components only along $\widehat{\mathfrak{n}}$. Now, for every $w \in \mathfrak{n}$, using the fact that $\widehat{A}$ is Killing and J -preserving,

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_{\widehat{A}} J)\widehat{v}, \widehat{w}) &= \widehat{A} \cdot g(J\widehat{v}, \widehat{w}) - g(J\widehat{v}, \nabla_{\widehat{A}} \widehat{w}) + g(\nabla_{\widehat{A}} \widehat{v}, J\widehat{w}) = \\ &= g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \widehat{A}, J\widehat{w}) - g(\nabla_{\widehat{w}} \widehat{A}, J\widehat{v}). \end{aligned}$$

For given t , we set $x = J_t w$ so that $g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \widehat{A}, J\widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} = g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \widehat{A}, \widehat{x})_{\gamma(t)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \widehat{A}, J\widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(g([\widehat{v}, \widehat{A}], \widehat{x}) + g([\widehat{A}, \widehat{x}], \widehat{v}) - g(\widehat{A}, [\widehat{x}, \widehat{v}]) \right)_{\gamma(t)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2f^2 - h^2) \mathcal{B}([A, v], J_t w) = \left(\frac{h^2}{2f^2} - 1 \right) g(\widehat{J_t J_1 v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Similarly, one finds that $g(\nabla_{\widehat{w}} \widehat{A}, J\widehat{v})_{\gamma(t)} = \left(\frac{h^2}{2f^2} - 1 \right) g(\widehat{J_1 J_t v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)}$, so that

$$g((\nabla_{\widehat{A}} J)\widehat{v}, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)} = \left(\frac{h^2}{2f^2} - 1 \right) g([\widehat{J_t}, \widehat{J_1}]v, \widehat{w})_{\gamma(t)},$$

which implies (4.9). As for (4.10), the following holds at the points $\gamma(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_{\widehat{v}} J)\widehat{A}, \widehat{w}) &= \widehat{v} \cdot g(J\widehat{A}, \widehat{w}) - g(J\widehat{A}, \nabla_{\widehat{v}} \widehat{w}) - g(J\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \widehat{A}, \widehat{w}) = \\ &= -g([\widehat{v}, \widehat{A}], J\widehat{w}) - g(J\widehat{A}, \nabla_{\widehat{w}} \widehat{v}) + g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \widehat{A}, J\widehat{w}) = \\ &= g(J[\widehat{A}, v], \widehat{w}) + g(\widehat{w}, \nabla_{J\widehat{A}} \widehat{v}) + g(\nabla_{\widehat{v}} \widehat{A}, J\widehat{w}) \stackrel{(\text{by (4.11) and } J\widehat{A}|_{\gamma(t)} = -\frac{1}{u}\xi|_{\gamma(t)})}{=} \\ &= \frac{h^2}{2f^2} g(\widehat{J_t J_1 v}, \widehat{w}) - \frac{1}{u} g(\widehat{w}, \nabla_{\xi} \widehat{v}) \stackrel{(\text{Lemma 4.1})}{=} \frac{h^2}{2f^2} g\left(\widehat{J_t J_1 v} - \frac{f'}{uf} \widehat{v}, \widehat{w}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We can now insist the condition that $(\nabla_X J)X = 0$. By G -invariance and Lemmata 4.1 - 4.4, we obtain that (g, J) is NK if and only if f, h and a_i are solutions of the equations:

$$\frac{h}{4f^2} a_1 + \frac{f'}{f} = 0, \quad a'_i - \frac{f'}{f} a_i - \frac{h}{4f^2} \delta_{i1} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.12)$$

$$a_j \left(\frac{3h^2}{2f^2} - 2 \right) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 2, 3, \quad a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1 \quad (4.13)$$

(we used the equalities $uh = \frac{1}{2}$, $J_1 J_2 = J_3$ and $J_3 J_1 = J_2$). Moreover,

Lemma 4.5. *If the NK structure is non-Kähler (hence strict), then $\frac{h}{f} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$.*

Proof. From (4.13), if $\frac{h(t_o)}{f(t_o)} \neq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ for some t_o , then $a_2(t) = a_3(t) = 0$ and $a'_i = 0$ on some open neighborhood $I_o \subset I$ of t_o . By (4.7) and (4.9), it follows that $(\nabla_{\xi} J)(\widehat{n}) = 0 = (\nabla_{\widehat{A}} J)(\widehat{n})$ and $d\omega = 3g(\nabla J \cdot, \cdot)$ vanishes when restricted to $\mathbb{R}\xi \times \widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}$ and $\mathbb{R}\widehat{A} \times \widehat{n} \times \widehat{n}$. As pointed out in the proof of Lemma 3.3, the invariance under the isotropy representation implies that $d\omega$ is identically 0 on $\gamma(I_o)$, contradicting the hypotheses. $\square$

Finally, observe that when $i = 2, 3$ the equations $(4.12)_2$ are equivalent to say that there exist non zero real constants $k, \widetilde{k}$ such that

$$a_2 = kf, \quad a_3 = \widetilde{k}f.$$

By a suitable rotation in the plane spanned by J_2, J_3 , we can always assume that $\tilde{k} = 0$. So using this and the previous lemma, the system of equation characterizing the non-Kähler NK structures becomes

$$a_1 + \sqrt{12}f' = 0, \quad a_2 = kf, \quad a_3 = 0, \quad h = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}f, \quad (4.14)$$

$$(f')^2 - ff'' - \frac{1}{12} = 0, \quad 12(f')^2 + k^2f^2 = 1, \quad (4.15)$$

which shows that any G -invariant NK structure is completely determined by the function f and the constant k that solve (4.15). The solutions of (4.15) are of the form

$$\begin{cases} f(t) = A \cos(\frac{k}{\sqrt{12}}t) + B \sin(\frac{k}{\sqrt{12}}t) \\ (A^2 + B^2)k^2 = 1 \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

for some real constants A, B . Under the change of parameter

$$u = kt - \arcsin(kB)\sqrt{12}$$

any function (4.16) becomes of the form

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{k} \cos\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{12}}\right)$$

and, at the points of $\gamma(t)$, the corresponding metric g is

$$g = \frac{1}{k} \left(du^2 + \cos^2\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{12}}\right) \mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}} + \frac{4}{3} \cos^2\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{12}}\right) \mathcal{B}|_{\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}} \right).$$

This means that, up to homotheties, there is a unique non-Kähler SU_3 -invariant NK structure on neighborhoods of regular orbits. This uniqueness property implies that such NK structure coincides with the standard non-Kähler SU_3 -invariant NK structure of S^6 described in §2.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Agricola, *The Srní lectures on non-integrable geometries with torsion*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **42** (2006), 5–84.
- [2] A. V. Alekseevsky, D. V. Alekseevsky, *Riemannian G -manifolds with one dimensional orbit space*, Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom. **11** (1993), 197–211.
- [3] J.-B. Butruille, *Classification des variétés approximativement kähleriennes homogènes*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **27** (2005), 201–225.
- [4] T. Friedrich and S. Ivanov, *Parallel spinors and connections with skew symmetric torsion in string theory*, Asian J. Math. **6** (2002), 303–335.
- [5] A. Gray, *Almost complex submanifolds of the six sphere*, Proc. A.M.S. **20** (1969), 277–279.
- [6] A. Gray, *Nearly Kähler manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **4** (1970), 283–309.
- [7] A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with geodesic symmetries of order 3*, J. Diff. Geom. **7** (1972), 343–369.
- [8] A. Gray, *The structure of nearly Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **223** (1976), 233–248.
- [9] A. Gray and L. M. Hervella, *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their local invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **123** (1980), 35–58.

- [10] K. Grove, W. Ziller, B. Wilking, *Positively curved cohomogeneity one manifolds and 3-Sasakian geometry*, J. Differential Geom. **78** (2008), 33–111.
- [11] R. Grunewald, *Six-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with a real Killing spinor*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **8** (1990), 43–59.
- [12] A. Moroianu, P. A. Nagy and U. Semmelmann, *Unit Killing vector fields on nearly Kähler manifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), 281–301.
- [13] P. A. Nagy, *Nearly Kähler geometry and Riemannian foliations*, Asian Math. J. **6** (2002), 481–504.
- [14] P. A. Nagy, *On nearly Kähler geometry*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **22** (2002), 167–178.
- [15] F. Uchida, *Classification of compact transformation groups on cohomology complex projective spaces with codimension one orbits*, Japan J. Math. **3** (1977), 141–189.

FABIO PODESTÀ
DIP. MATEMATICA E APPL. PER L'ARCHITETTURA
UNIVERSITÀ DI FIRENZE
PIAZZA Ghiberti 27
50122 FIRENZE
ITALY

E-mail: `podesta@math.unifi.it`

ANDREA SPIRO
DIP. MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA
UNIVERSITÀ DI CAMERINO
VIA MADONNA DELLE CARCERI
I-62032 CAMERINO (MACERATA)
ITALY

E-mail: `andrea.spiro@unicam.it`